1 2 3 4 5	e. robert (bob) wallach (SBN 29078) Lawyer-Counselor Law Offices of e. robert (bob) wallach, P.C. P. O. Box 2670 San Francisco, CA 94126-2670 155 Jackson Street, No. 602 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 989-6445 Facsimile: (415) 989-3802	E. Joseph Dean Stoel Rives LLP 900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, OR 97204 (<i>Pro hac vice</i>) Marc T. Rasich (SBN 174683) Stoel Rives LLP 201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Alan L. Barry Noelle J. Quinn Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC Three First National Plaza 70 West Madison, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60610 (312) 372-1121 (Pro hac vice) Gregory S. Cavallo (SBN 173270) Shopoff & Cavallo LLP 353 Sacramento Street, Suite 1040 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 984-1975 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharper Image Corporation and Zenion Industries, Inc.	Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone: 801-328-3131 Facsimile: 801-578-6999 Christopher J. Carr (SBN 184076) Stoel Rives LLP 111 Sutter Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415-617-8925 Facsimile: 415-676-3000 Attorneys for Defendant Indoor Purification Systems, Inc.
15161718	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
19 20 21 22 23	SHARPER IMAGE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and ZENION INDUSTRIES, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. NEOTEC, INC., a Nevada corporation, and INDOOR PURIFICATION SYSTEMS, INC., a	Case No. 03-4426 CW STIPULATED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
 24 25 26 27 28 	Utah corporation, Defendants.	

1 2 3

 $_{4}\parallel$

5

7

8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

24

23

26

25

27

28

Pursuant to the Court's Order dated April 1, 2004, Sharper Image Corporation and Zenion Industries, Inc. (collectively "Sharper Image") and Indoor Purification Systems, Inc. ("IPS") hereby submit the following Stipulated Case Management Order.

The parties are in agreement as to the following Patent Local Rules Deadlines:

Patent Local Rules Deadlines:

Preliminary Infringement Contentions April 16, 2004

Preliminary Invalidity Contentions June 1, 2004

Exchange Proposed Disputed Claim Terms June 15, 2004

Exchange Proposed Claim Constructions

July 6, 2004

Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement August 2, 2004

Claim Construction Discovery Closes September 1, 2004

Sharper Image's Claim Construction Brief September 16, 2004

Defendants' Response Claim Construction Brief September 30, 2004

Sharper Image Reply Brief October 7, 2004

Claim Construction Hearing October 22, 2004

Final Infringement Contentions 15 days post Claim Construction Ruling

Final Invalidity Contentions 30 days post Claim Construction Ruling

Discovery Deadlines:

Because they cannot agree as to appropriate discovery deadlines, Sharper Image and IPS are presenting separate proposals:

Sharper Image's Proposal:

In March 2004, the parties filed a Joint Case Management Statement with proposed discovery deadlines. Since that time, Sharper Image has filed a Motion for Leave to file an Amended Complaint. Sharper Image's proposed Amended Complaint includes one additional party and three additional patents (none of which were in suit at the time of the JCMS's filing). In addition, since that time, the Court has related this case to two other patent infringement lawsuits pending in this Court. In light of the foregoing, Sharper Image believes the proposed schedule previously presented in the parties Joint Case Management Statement ("JCMS") is impractical and

5

67

8

10

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

2324

252627

28

unmanageable. For example, Sharper Image cannot agree to a fact discovery deadline that coincides with either of the other related cases (wherein the fact discovery period is closing in January and February 2005). Further, the schedule presented in the previously submitted JCMS does not allow for enough time in between the dispositive motion deadline and the final pretrial conference.

Moreover, because of the highly seasonal nature of Sharper Image's business, it is extremely burdensome for Sharper Image to schedule a trial during the retail holiday shopping season (October through December).

Accordingly, Sharper Image propose the following amended schedule:

Deadline for serving Rule 26(a) Disclosures April 23, 2004

Fact Discovery Closes April 29, 2005

Expert Witness Reports May 20, 2005

Rebuttal Expert Reports June 17, 2005

Completion of Expert Discovery August 5, 2005

Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline September 23, 2005

Final Pretrial Conference February 17, 2006

Trial Begins February 27, 2006

IPS's Proposal:

Defendant Indoor Purification Systems believes that the dates the parties stipulated to just four weeks ago in the Joint Case Management Statement filed on March 19, 2004 should be used. Plaintiffs joined in that stipulation with full knowledge that they were going to seek to amend the complaint (plaintiffs so stated in the Joint Case Management Statement – see ¶ 8 on p. 4), so the amendment that the plaintiffs have recently sought leave to make does not constitute changed circumstances, does not relieve plaintiffs from the stipulation they made, and does not justify stretching this case out nine additional months. Further, two patents Sharper Image is "adding" were already being asserted in this very case against co-defendant Neotec, Inc., so those patents do not justify a 9-month extension of this case. Lastly, Sharper Image's desire to have its cases not come to trial "during the retail holiday season" does not justify moving the trial date it agreed to from May

1	2005 to February 2006. The dates Sharper Image stipulated to, which will lead to the much more		
2	prompt disposition of this case, were as follows:		
3	Deadline for serving Rule 26(a) Disclosures	April 23, 2004	
4	Fact Discovery Closes	60 days after Claim Construction Order	
5	Expert Witness Reports	90 days after Claim Construction Order	
6	Rebuttal Expert Reports	120 days after Claim Construction Order	
7	Completion of Expert Discovery	150 days after Claim Construction Order	
8	Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline	February 11, 2005	
9	Final Pretrial Conference	May 9, 2005	
10	Trial Begins	May 23, 2005	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 Dated: April 15, 2004 Dated: April 15, 2004 2 SHARPER IMAGE CORPORATION and INDOOR PURIFICATION SYSTEMS, INC. 3 ZENION INDUSTRIES, INC. 4 5 /s/ Noelle J. Quinn (electronically filed)* E. Joseph Dean 6 e. robert (bob) wallach (SBN 29078) Stoel Rives LLP Lawyer-Counselor 900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 7 Portland, OR 97204 Law Offices of e. robert (bob) wallach, P.C. (Pro hac vice) 8 Alan L. Barry Amy G. O'Toole Marc T. Rasich (SBN 174683) 9 Noelle J. Quinn Stoel Rives LLP Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC 201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100 10 (pro hac vice) Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone: 801-328-3131 11 Jeffrey W. Shopoff (SBN 46278) Facsimile: 801-578-6999 Gregory S. Cavallo (SBN 173270) 12 Shopoff & Cavallo LLP Christopher J. Carr (SBN 184076) Stoel Rives LLP 13 111 Sutter Street, Suite 700 Attorneys for Plaintiff Sharper Image San Francisco, CA 94104 14 Corporation and Zenion Industries, Inc. Telephone: 415-617-8925 Facsimile: 415-676-3000 15 Attorneys for Defendant Indoor Purification 16 Systems, Inc. 17 18 *Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X, by signing above counsel for Plaintiff hereby attests it maintains a file copy of this stipulation bearing Defendant's counsel's signature. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 OAKLAND DIVISION 4 SHARPER IMAGE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and ZENION 5 INDUSTRIES, INC., a California corporation, Case No. 03-4426 CW 6 Plaintiffs, [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT **ORDER** 7 v. 8 NEOTEC, INC., a Nevada corporation, and INDOOR PURIFICATION SYSTEMS, INC., a 9 Utah corporation, 10 Defendants. 11 This matter came to be heard on the parties stipulated and separate proposed Case 12 Management Orders. The Court has considered the parties' proposals, and PURSUANT TO 13 STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall adhere to the following Patent 14 Local Rules schedule: 15 **Preliminary Infringement Contentions** April 16, 2004 16 June 1, 2004 **Preliminary Invalidity Contentions** 17 **Exchange Proposed Disputed Claim Terms** June 15, 2004 18 **Exchange Proposed Claim Constructions** July 6, 2004 19 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement August 2, 2004 20 September 1, 2004 Claim Construction Discovery Closes 21 Sharper Image's Claim Construction Brief September 16, 2004 22 Defendants' Response Claim Construction Brief September 30, 2004 23 Sharper Image Reply Brief October 7, 2004 24 Claim Construction Hearing October 22, 2004 25 Final Infringement Contentions 15 days post Claim Construction Ruling 26 **Final Invalidity Contentions** 30 days post Claim Construction Ruling 27 28

As to the parties' different proposals respecting discovery deadlines and an appropria		
date IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties shall adhere to the following schedule:		
Deadline for serving Rule 26(a) Disclosures	April 23, 2004	
Fact Discovery Closes		
Expert Witness Reports		
Rebuttal Expert Reports		
Completion of Expert Discovery		
Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline		
Final Pretrial Conference		
Trial Begins		
Dated:		
	U.S. District Judge Claudia Wilken	
591792/D/1 4/15/2004 7:18 PM		